

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,995	07/11/2003	· Ilan Calderon	1311OBT-US	2778
7590 11/02/2007 Dekel Patent Ltd.			EXAMINER	
Beit HaRofim			NGUYEN, HUONG Q	
	Room 27 18 Menuha VeNahala Street Rehovot,			PAPER NUMBER
ISRAEL				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	•	CO.		
t	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/616,995	CALDERON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Helen Nguyen	3736		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 1.136(a). In no event, however, mail and will apply and will expire SIX (6) tute, cause the application to become	JNICATION. By a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BY ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 October 2007.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal r	natters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	Irawn from consideration			
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 August 2006 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received riority documents have b eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Papei	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the RCE filed 10/23/2007. Claims 2 and 4 are amended, overcoming the previous claim objections. Claims 1-7 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garfield et al (US Pat No. 6816744) in view of Borkan (US Pat No. 6662053), further in view of Fuchs (US Pat No. 5747996).
- 4. Please see the Office Action dated 7/24/2007 for complete details.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 1/23/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that Borkan does not teach displaying the position of the simulator electrodes in conjunction with other pertinent data. However, it is noted that Borkan discloses that "the display may show overlays of an image of the desired electrode position and/or movement on an x-ray or fluoroscopic image." (Col.3: 1-14 and Col.5: 10-21). The electrode position is thus displayed in conjunction with an x-ray or fluoroscopic image, which constitutes pertinent data. Furthermore, the prior art is replete is examples of displays that show

Application/Control Number: 10/616,995

Art Unit: 3736

multiple types of data, which includes position data along with other types of data. For example, Varghese et al (US Pat No. 20040210136) discloses a system for monitoring cervical and uterine data wherein the display shows multiple types of data in conjunction with each other, best seen in Figure 5. It is also noted that the combination of Garfield et al, Borkan, and Fuchs clearly disclose all the structural elements as claimed and elaborated in the above rejection. Thus, the recitation of providing a display of the electrical muscular activity signals and the three-dimensional positions of the at least one EMG sensor at the same time is not novel nor nonobvious because it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for a display to advantageously show multiple types of data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-8340. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HQN 10/29/2007 MAXILLENBURG

TOTELLENBURG

TO